

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/647,059	08/22/2003	Mark H. Crane	0717.2037-001	9713	
2800 129822088 HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/647.059 CRANE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jeff Piziali 2629 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 August 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-5.7-15 and 17-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-5,7-15 and 17-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

### DETAILED ACTION

#### Drawings

 The drawings have not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the figures.

#### Specification

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence
of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of
which applicant may become aware in the specification.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-5 and 7-10, drawn to a headgear system (claims 1-5, 7, and 8) and a headgear system (claims 9 and 10), classified in class 359, subclass 13 (e.g., head up display products).

Application/Control Number: 10/647,059

Art Unit: 2629

II. Claims 11-15 and 17-20, drawn to a method of displaying an item of information (claims 11-15, 17, and 18) and a method of displaying an item of information (claims 19 and 20), classified in class 349, subclass 11 (e.g., methods of superimposing visual information on an observer's field of view).

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use.

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h).

(1) In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed (in claims 11-15 and 17-20) can be practiced with another materially different product (of claims 1-5 and 7-10).

For example, the process as claimed (in claims 11-15 and 17-20) can be practiced with another materially different product (of claims 1-5, 7, and 8) not including at least:

"a headgear system comprising: a headgear with an upper headgear portion for being worn on a user's head and a lower headgear portion extending from the upper headgear portion for extending forwardly relative to a lower front portion of the user's head and below Application/Control Number: 10/647,059

Art Unit: 2629

the users eyes; and a display assembly mounted inside the headgear of the headgear system to the lower headgear portion for being located below at least one of the user's eyes," as claimed in independent claim 1 (lines 1-7); and

"the display assembly being configured to be adjustable by the user while the headgear system is worn by the user for changing an orientation of the viewing display and the direct viewing optics," as claimed in independent claim 1 (lines 16-18).

For example, the process as claimed (in claims 11-15 and 17-20) can be practiced with another materially different product (of claims 9 and 10) not including at least:

"a headgear system comprising: a headgear for being worn by a user; and a display assembly having a viewing display mounted to the headgear of the headgear system, the display assembly being configured to be adjustable by the user while the headgear system is worn by the user for changing an orientation of the viewing display," as claimed in independent claim 9 (lines 1-6).

(2) In the instant case, the product as claimed (in claims 1-5 and 7-10) can be used in a materially different process of using that product (of claims 11-15 and 17-20).

For example, the product as claimed (in claims 1-5 and 7-10) can be used in a materially different process of using that product (of claims 11-15, 17, and 18) without at least:

"a method of displaying an item of information to a user comprising: providing the user with a headgear having an upper headgear portion for being worn on the user's head and

Application/Control Number: 10/647,059

Art Unit: 2629

a lower headgear portion extending from the upper headgear portion for extending forwardly relative to a lower front portion of the user's head and below the user's eyes; and mounting a display assembly inside said headgear to the lower headgear portion below at least one of the user's eyes," as claimed in independent claim 11 (lines 1-8); and

"the display assembly being configured to be adjustable by the user while the headgear is worn by the user for changing an orientation of the viewing display and the direct viewing optics for viewing," as claimed in independent claim 11 (lines 16-19).

For example, the product as claimed (in claims 1-5 and 7-10) can be used in a materially different process of using that product (of claims 19 and 20) without at least:

"a method of displaying an item of information to a user comprising: providing the user with a headgear for being worn on the user's head; and mounting a display assembly having a viewing display for displaying the item of information to the headgear, the display assembly being configured to be adjustable by the user while the headgear is worn by the user for changing an orientation of the viewing display for viewing," as claimed in independent claim 19 (lines 1-7).

5. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper

restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-7678. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chanh Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7772. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeff Piziali/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629

1 December 2008